

REMARKS

Claims 4-6, 8-10, 12 and 13 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 4-6, 8, 10 and 12 are amended and claims 1-3, 7 and 11 are canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. No new matter is added.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication that claims 4, 5, 6, 10, 12 and 13 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the features of the rejected base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant amended claims 4, 6, 10 and 12 to incorporate the features of the rejected base claim. For at least these reasons, Applicant submits that claims 4, 6, 10 and 12, as well as claims 5 and 13, which depend therefrom, are allowable.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,520 to Suzuki in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,027,294 to Fakruddin et al. ("Fakruddin"). The rejection has been rendered moot by the cancellation of claims 1, 2, 3, 7 and 11.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Suzuki, Fakruddin as applied to claim 7 and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,038,434 to Miyake. The rejection is respectfully traversed for at least the following reasons.

Page 5 of the Office Action acknowledges that the combination of Suzuki and Fakruddin fails to disclose or suggest a control portion, wherein the control portion selects the information with predetermined attribute information and transmits the selected information through the wireless communication portion to the external destination, as recited in claim 8. The Office Action further states, however, that col. 3, lines 16-25 of Miyake discloses such features. The identified portion of Miyake discloses that the apparatus is provided with a write-protection device for selecting the stored predetermined data as write-protected data, and thus the stored predetermined data, which are desired to be maintained, can be prevented

from being over-written erroneously by new predetermined data, while the stored predetermined data, which are not desired to be maintained, can be renewed by over-writing it with new predetermined data.

The write-protection device of Miyake is further explained in Fig. 5 of Miyake. As discussed in col. 7, lines 1-29 of Miyake, it is possible to selectively protect the channel where the RT data, which the user wishes to maintain are stored by selectively pressing any one of the channel keys 11 for more than 2 seconds. When a channel is protected, the RT data which is stored therein is prevented from being over-written with new RT data.

Therefore, in Miyake the write-protection device only serves to lock data and to prevent the data from being over-written. Miyake does not disclose or suggest that the right-protection device selects information with predetermined attribute information and transmits the selected information through the wireless information portion to the external destination, as recited in claim 8, at least because Miyake does not disclose or suggest that the write-protection device transmits selected information through the wireless communication portion to the external destination.

For at least these reasons, Applicant submits that Miyake fails to overcome the deficiencies of the combination of Suzuki and Fakruddin, and thus, the combination of Suzuki, Fakruddin and Miyake fails to disclose or suggest a wireless communication unit comprising, *inter alia*, a control portion that selects information with predetermined attribute information and transmits the selected information through the wireless communication portion to the external destination, as recited in claim 8. Therefore, the combination of Suzuki, Fakruddin and Miyake fails to disclose or suggest all the features of claim 8, as well as all the features of claim 9, which depends from claim 8. It is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of all pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Mario A. Costantino
Registration No. 33,565

Maryam M. Ipakchi
Registration No. 51,835

MAC:MMI/axl

Attachments:

Petition for Extension of Time
Amendment Transmittal

Date: April 1, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE
AUTHORIZATION**

Please grant any extension
necessary for entry;
Charge any fee due to our
Deposit Account No. 15-0461